

**2022 CVM Research & Phi Zeta Celebration  
Oral Presentation Evaluation Form**

General Information

Title of oral presentation	
Top Dog Competition [     ]	Best in Show Competition [     ]
Presenter's name	Judge's last name
Date:	Total Score

Presenter was not present during the designated time slot

Section A: Appearance (circle appropriate category; do not give intermediate scores such as 7.5)

	Poor		Fair		Average		Good		Excellent	
1. <b>PPTX slides</b> are aesthetically pleasing to the audience	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2. Are free of unnecessary detail	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. Have enough background (eg, 50%; are not crowded)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4. Text and data are visible from the back of the room	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Sub-score A (     / 40)										

Section B: Content

	Poor		Fair		Average		Good		Excellent	
1. <b>Statement of the problem</b> , evidence and knowledge gaps, and relationship to earlier work are clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2. <b>Study objective</b> is clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. <b>Methods</b> and techniques are appropriate and properly applied	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4. <b>Results</b> are logical and clearly presented (tables and graphs convey the intended data)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5. <b>Discussion</b> key study findings were well explained and compared to previous studies	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
6. <b>Study limitations</b> were explained and discussed	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7. <b>Conclusions</b> Study results support the study conclusions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
8. <b>Relevance</b> of study findings are clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
9. <b>Innovation</b> study is original, results filled a gap in the literature	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Sub-score B (     / 90)										

Section C: Delivery

	Poor		Fair		Average		Good		Excellent	
1. Rate the author's ability to present content in a logical, continuous manner	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2. How knowledgeable was the author on the subject matter?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. Rate professional presence of the author	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4. Rate author's body language (nervous twitching, no eye contact)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5. Rate the author's enthusiasm for the project/presentation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
6. Rate the ability of the author to answer judges' questions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7. The student finished on time (12 minutes)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Sub-score C (     / 70)										

Total score (     / 200)
--------------------------