



**2024 CVM Research & Phi Zeta Celebration
Poster Presentation Evaluation Form**

General Information

Poster Number		Poster Title			
Undergrad	DVM Student	Intern/Resident	MS:Resident/Graduate Student	Postdoc Fellow	Staff
Presenter's name			Judge's name		
Date:			Total Score		

Presenter was not present during the designated time slot

Section A: Appearance (circle appropriate category; do not give intermediate categories such as 7.5)

	Poor		Fair		Average		Good		Excellent	
1. Is the poster aesthetically pleasing to the viewer?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2. Is the display free of unnecessary detail?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. Is there appropriate use of white-background space (crowded, sparse, or adequate)?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4. Is text visible from one meter (three feet)?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Sub-score A (/ 40)										

Section B: Content

	Poor		Fair		Average		Good		Excellent	
1. Is the title clearly stated?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2. Does the abstract provide an accurate overview of the poster content?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. Is the content clear and easy to understand?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4. Is the information relevant?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5. Is the impact of research clearly described?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
6. Is the study objective explained clearly?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7. Are the methods succinctly explained?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
8. Do the figures (tables and graphs) convey the intended data?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
9. Without verbal explanation, can readers grasp the intent of the poster?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10. Are possible future directions for the project presented clearly?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Sub-score B (/ 100)										

Section C: Delivery

	Poor		Fair		Average		Good		Excellent	
1. Rate the author's ability to present content in a logical, continuous manner	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2. How knowledgeable was the author on the subject matter?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. Rate the professional presence of the author	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4. Rate the author's body language (nervous twitching, no eye contact)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5. Rate the author's enthusiasm for the project/presentation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
6. Rate the ability of the author to answer judges' questions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Sub-score C (/ 60)										

Total score (/ 200)										
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--